I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted via the Office electronic filling system in accordance with § 1.6(a)(4).

Dated: June 30, 2009

Signature: / Thomas W. Humphrey / (Thomas W. Humphrey) Docket No.: LF 227 (PATENT)

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Michael R. Hynes

Application No.: 10/811,258 Confirmation No.: 1651

Filed: March 26, 2004 Art Unit: 3767

For: Calibrated Pushrod for Injection Volume Examiner: Elizabeth Rose

Control in Prefilled Syringes Moulton

### REPLY BRIEF

MS Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Madam:

Pursuant to §41.41, this reply brief is being filed in response to the

Examiner's Answer that was mailed on May 12, 2009.

This reply brief contains, under the appropriate headings and in the order indicated, the following items as required by 37 C.F.R. § 41.41:

- Table of Contents
- II. Table of Authorities
- III. Statement of additional facts
- IV. Argument

# I. TABLE OF CONTENTS

II. TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ş
III. STATEMENT OF FACTS	
IV. ARGUMENT	

# II. TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	No court or	administrative	decisions,	statutes,	or other	authorities	are cited i	n
this b	rief.							

#### III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

With regard to the present application, the Examiner's answer asserts that "there is no special definition of a prefilled syringe in the specification or in the art, asserting that "any syringe which contains medication for expulsion is prefilled." The Examiner is incorrect, and is ignoring that the present application is by its title directed to "prefilled" syringes and that the specification at page 4 lines 17 – 24 specifically refers to "[p]refilled syringes [which] are available containing a variety of medical fluids", in "sizes relating somewhat to typical and/or average dosages". "Prefilled" clearly has been used in the specification in reference to syringes which are sold with medical fluids in them in typical dosage sizes – it does not refer to a syringe sold empty and then filled with a patient-specific amount of medical fluid.

With regard to the Travelent patent, the Examiner incorrectly asserts that Travelent "expressly discloses that the plunger is calibrated to the volume of the syringe: see Col. 3 line 57 – Col. 4 lie 14". This misstates the quoted section of the Travelent, which states only that the pitch of the threads on the plunger of the Travelent syringe may be adjusted based on the length of the plunger. This section of Travelent does not address or relate to the issue at hand, which is whether the "0" on the plunger of the syringe is or is not in alignment with the syringe body so that the scale is meaningful.

With respect to Blackman, the Examiner incorrectly asserts that Blackman shows a "scale" for the reason that col. 4, line 64 of Blackman states that the syringe has grooves that "correspond to a predetermined volume". The Examiner relies on an Internet dictionary for a definition of "scale", ignoring that the present application uses the word "scale" to refer to the numbers shown in Fig. 2, which are adjacent to the thread lines 36 (see Fig. 2 and page 5, line 20). The application further notes that the scale 32 "reads from 0 to 9 mL" (page 6 line 3). Thus "scale" is defined in the application as an annotated volume indication – it is not used for mere grooves or thread lines and is not satisfied by mere grooves or thread lines.

Also regarding Blackman, the Examiner incorrectly asserts that the detent 36 is a "stop"; there is no disclosure in Blackman that the detent 36 serves to stop the plunger as opposed to simply providing a feedback click. In contrast, in the present application the "stop" stops the plunger, e.g. at page 6 line 12-14: "pushrod 14 and plunger 20 are <u>prevented</u> from traveling any further and <u>stop</u> when stop 30 contacts flange 44 of barrel 34, thereby controlling the injection volume" (emphasis added). The Examiner has failed to show how the Blackman detent stops the plunger or prevents its travel – it is not a "stop".

#### IV. ARGUMENT

For the reasons noted above, Applicant re-asserts that the presently claimed invention clearly distinguishes the Travelent and Blackman references relied upon by the Examiner, due to the failure to show a "prefilled" syringe with a shaft with a "scale" that corresponds to a "known" amount prefilled in the syringe, and a "stop" that is set according to the scale.

For the reasons given in this reply brief and in the appeal brief, reversal of the examiner's rejection is requested.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 23-3000, under Order No. LF 227 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: June 30, 2009 Respectfully submitted,

By / Thomas W. Humphrey / Thomas W. Humphrey Registration No.: 34,353 WOOD HERRON & EVANS LLP 2700 Carew Tower 441 Vine Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-2917 (513) 241-6234 (Fax) thumphrey@whepatent.com (E-Mail) Attorney for Applicant